2018-2019 Philosophy Department Assessment Report for GEP Courses

Since the Department was assessing these three courses as part of its program assessment, we also used the data to assess these three courses with regard to for student achievement with respect to UMBC General Education Functional Competency III: Critical Analysis and Reasoning. See findings and suggested changes below. The data is in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

COLIDERS, DITH 100	Eurotional Competency	Eurotional Competency	
COURSES: PHIL 100,	Functional Competency	Functional Competency	
PHIL 150, and PHIL 152	III: Critical Analysis	III: Critical Analysis and	
	and Reasoning	Reasoning	
Course-specific goals linked	Course-specific goal:	Course-specify goal: the	
to FC	knowledge of the	ability to identify	
	difference between	conclusions of arguments.	
	premises and conclusions.		
How do you assess or	Students were tested on	Students were tested on	
measure achievement of	their knowledge of the	their ability to identify	
those goals?	difference between	conclusions of arguments	
	conclusions and premises	(Questions 2 and 3 in	
	(Question 1 in Tables 1,	Tables 1, 2, and 3). Students	
	2, and 3). Students were	were sent an online test	
	sent an online test close	close to the end of the	
	to the end of the semester	semester and asked to	
	and asked to complete the	complete the test. The test	
	test. The test was not part	was not part of their grade,	
	of their grade, and the	and the same test was sent	
	same test was sent to all	to all students in all three	
	students in all three	courses.	
	courses.	eourses.	
What did you find?	See Tables 1, 2, and 3.	See Tables 1, 2, and 3. The	
, , nut ara y ou minut	All three courses seem to	students in all three courses	
	do a good job helping	appear not to be mastering	
	students master the	the ability to identify	
	knowledge of the	conclusions in arguments.	
	difference between	This is a skill that is	
	premises and conclusions	essential to critical analysis	
	of arguments. Around	and reasoning, which is also	
	90% of students from all	a skill central to all	
	three courses were able to	philosophy courses.	
	answer Question 1	Students in PHIL 152	
	correctly. However, the	appear to do better on this. 64.7% of students who took	
	response rate for PHIL		
	152 was quite low	the test from PHIL 152 got	
	(8.54%), so it isn't clear	both Question 2 and 3	
	we have an adequate	correct. However, the	
	sample to draw anything	response rate for this course	

	conclusive from the data	was quite low, so it isn't
	for that course.	clear we have an adequate
		sample to draw anything
		conclusive from the data.
		Students from the other two
		courses appear not to be
		mastering this important
		learning goal. Only 51.5%
		of students from PHIL 100
		who took the test got both
		Questions 2 and 3 correct;
		and only 41.4% of students
		from PHIL 150 who took
		the text got both Questions
		2 and 3 correct.
Changes proposed based on	No changes are	The Department
assessment results	recommended.	recommends separating this
		goal from the learning goal
		of teaching students what
		premises and conclusions
		are. It is clear from the data
		(see Table 2) that
		knowledge of the difference
		between premises and
		conclusions is not sufficient
		to master the ability to
		identify conclusions of
		arguments. Students who
		got Question 1 correct were
		not more likely to get
		questions 2 and 3 correct.
		The Department plans to
		look closer at how the skill
		of identifying conclusions
		of arguments is actually
		being taught in the various
		sections of these three
		courses in order to assess
		which of these methods is
		most effective in teaching
** Suggested by Barbara Walvoord	1	this skill.

^{**} Suggested by Barbara Walvoord during a consultation at UMBC

Table 1

	PHIL 100	PHIL 150	PHIL 152	Overall
% of Correct Answers to Question 1	91.8%	89.7%	88.2%	91.5%
% of Incorrect Answers to Question 1	7.2%	10.3%	11.8%	7.4%
% of No Response to Question 1	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
% of Correct Answers to Question 2	63.4%	58.6%	70.6%	63.6%
% of Incorrect Answers to Question 2	36.6%	41.4%	29.4%	36.4%
% of No Response to Question 2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
% of Correct Answers to Question 3	74.6%	65.5%	88.2%	73.9%
% of Incorrect Answers to Question 3	24.6%	34.5%	11.8%	25.6%
% of No Response to Question 3	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
% of Students Who Got Both Question 2				
and Question 3 Correct	51.5%	41.4%	64.7%	51.1%

Table 2

% of students with Q1 correct who got both Q2 & Q3 correct	51.55%
% of students with Q1 incorrect who got both Q2 & Q3 correct	53.85%

Table 3

Course	Enrollment	Number of Responses	Response Rate
PHIL 100	409	134	32.76%
PHIL 150	80	29	36.25%
PHIL 152	199	17	8.54%
Total Responses	688	176*	25.58%

^{*4} of the respondents were in more than one course -- 2 of those taking PHIL 100 were also taking PHIL 150 and 2 of those taking PHIL 100 were also taking PHIL 152; their data was included in both courses, though they were not double counted in the overall data.